

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/342,255 06/29/1999		06/29/1999	MAKOTO OGURA	35.C11293DI	6294
5514	7590	04/01/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO				EXAMINER	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			SEMBER, THOMAS M	
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2875	
				DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/342,255

Applicant(s)

Ogura

Examiner

Thomas Sember '

Art Unit **287**5

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Therefore ejectional	EPLY FILED Mar 20, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for nce; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
. 5	
_	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь) [	is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
exte app set mai	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
·	
3.□	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see attached arguments</u>
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 🗆	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\square$ will not be entered or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) rejected:
8. 🗆	Claim(s) rejected:
8. <del></del>	Claim(s) rejected:

## Response to After-final Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/20/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Ogura (U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200) fails to claim "a second light reflecting and/or diffusing area being adapted to reflect and/or diffuse the light to said exit area and inclined with respect to a longitudinal axis of the translucent member." The examiner agrees that U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200 fails to teach the limitation of "and inclined with respect to a longitudinal axis of the translucent member" but claim 8 of Ogura (U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200) does claim all the other features of claim 53 including "a second light reflecting and/or diffusing area for reflecting and/or diffusing the light to said light exit area." It would have been an obvious engineering design choice to modify the second reflector of U.S. Patent No. 6,015,200 so as to be inclined with respect to the translucent member's longitudinal surface in order to efficiently transmit light to the light exit area.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is (703) 308-1938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea, can be reached at (703) 305-4939. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Thomas M. Sember

Primary Examiner March 28, 2003